

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,** )  
 )  
 **Plaintiff,** )  
 )  
 **vs.** ) **Case No. 94-00194-12-CR-W-GAF**  
 )  
 **KEVEN L WYRICK,** )  
 **also known as Kevin L Wyrick,** )  
 )  
 **Defendant.** )

Now before the Court is defendant's *pro se* Motion to Reduce Sentence (Doc. #1133). Defendant seeks a reduction of his sentence in his fourth motion for the same herein. In his current motion, defendant argues that the amended sentencing guidelines under Section 1B1.13(b)(6) should be considered in granting him a sentence reduction. Defendant argues that he received an unusually long sentence at a time when the guidelines were mandatory which presents an extraordinary and compelling reason for a sentence reduction. 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A)(i) does create a narrow exception to the general rule that a federal court may not modify a sentence once it has been imposed when extraordinary and compelling reasons are found to warrant a reduction. However, non-retroactive changes in sentencing law are neither.

Defendant's Motion for Sentence Reduction (Doc. 1133) is denied for the reasons stated herein all as more fully set forth in the government's response in opposition (Doc. 1140).

s/ Gary A. Fenner  
GARY A. FENNER, JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DATED: February 21, 2024